

**REMARKS**

The undersigned thanks Examiner Rickman for withdrawing the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7, 14, 17, 21 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nolan (US 2004/0258963) in view of Applicant's amendments in the Amendment of May 29, 2007.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

Claims 2-5, 7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nolan (US 7211340).

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Girt et al. (US 2004/0247943).

Claims 2 and 22 now depend from claim 1. Claims 3-5 and 7 depend directly or indirectly from claim 2. Thus, the above rejection should be withdrawn.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in 1condition for allowance.

Dated: February 19, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By /Raj S. Davé/  
Raj S. Davé, Ph.D.  
Registration No.: 42,465  
DARBY & DARBY P.C.  
P.O. Box 770  
Church Street Station  
New York, New York 10008-0770  
(202) 639-7515  
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)  
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant